

Berlin, Hayley (ENE)

From: Berlin, Hayley (ENE)
Sent: December 14, 2006 8:27 AM
To: 'Bricks, Mike'; Imants Hausmanis
Subject: Summary of our Discussion

Good morning

Thank you for taking the time to discuss your responses. As I said at the beginning of our conversation, most of the issues were relatively minor. I wanted to provide a quick review.

1. MOE/GO Transit Noise protocol – your response commits GO Transit to using that protocol despite the draft format. It is MOE understanding that this protocol is actually a “GO Transit document” as such, could you please provide me with an e-copy and I will forward it on to the appropriate technical reviewer. At this point in time MOE is using a paper copy. MOE would also like to move forward with finalizing the document, which can be done outside of this project.
2. Commitment to consult with MOE during the preparation of the air quality assessment – this will all you and technical support to determine what needs to be looked at.
3. Ministry of culture is satisfied with your responses and your proposed wording.
4. Places to Grow – please review your responses that deal with the Places to Grow policy and revise your response to indicate the interim EA Facilitator recommending that you add that information.
5. Section 1.1.6 – review that section to see what wording you would like to propose to clarify that currently there is not enough capacity on the track to handle GO Transit’s need, but based on GO Transit’s 20-30 plan there will available and excess track to be used. And that Transport Canada is proposing to use that excess track. If this section can get amended, this will clarify some of the issues raised by the public.
6. Consulting with the Toronto Preservation Board – the public has raised the issue that part of the Weston community has been designated to be a Heritage District. I recommend that you commit to consulting with the Toronto Heritage Board.
7. Housing values – I will discuss further with my management. After our discussion, I again looked at the ToR to see if there was any where something could be added to outline your position that nuisance effects (noise, vibration and aesthetics) should be how impacts to property values are measured. I was looking at Table 5-1 and under the criteria for Potential Impacts to Existing and Future Land Use and Economic Development, I thought that perhaps information relating to nuisance effects may be helpful there. After all it would appear that it is the ARL component of the project which the public is concerned about effecting property values. Please review the ToR to see if there is anything that you would like to propose adding and your responses to public inquiries about housing values. And we will discuss later this week or early next week.

I think that I covered most of the items we spoke about. If I’ve missed anything please let me know.

Hayley

Hayley Berlin
Project Officer
Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West
12A Floor
Toronto ON M4V 1L5
416-314-7106
**Note new email address: hayley.berlin@ontario.ca

2006/12/14